

# CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vi
1. Introduction <i>Thomas Hylland Eriksen</i>	1
2. Several Sites in One <i>Ulf Hannerz</i>	18
3. Ethnography and the Extreme Internet <i>Daniel Miller and Don Slater</i>	39
4. Global Places and Place-Identities – Lessons from Caribbean Research <i>Karen Fog Olwig</i>	58
5. Into Our Time: The Anthropology of Political Life in the Era of Globalisation <i>Christian Krohn-Hansen</i>	78
6. Shifting Boundaries of a Coastal Community: Tracing Changes on the Margin <i>Marianne E. Lien</i>	99
7. Considering Global/Local Relations: Beyond Dualism <i>Knut G. Nustad</i>	122
8. Anthropologies in Policies, Anthropologies in Places: Reflections on Fieldwork ‘in’ Documents and Policies <i>Simone Abram</i>	138
9. Commemorating Global Acts: A Norwegian Way of Holding an Emigrant World Together <i>Sarah Lund</i>	158
10. Exchange Matters: Issues of Law and the Flow of Human Substances <i>Marit Melhuus</i>	170
11. The Diffusion of Moral Values in a Global Perspective <i>Signe Howell</i>	198
12. Epilogue: Studying World Society <i>Keith Hart</i>	217
<i>Contributors</i>	228
<i>Index</i>	229

# 1 INTRODUCTION

*Thomas Hylland Eriksen*

Although the term ‘globalisation’ has been common in anthropology and neighbouring disciplines only since around 1990, it has spawned an impressive range of books, journal articles and academic conferences. In the mid-1990s, it actually seemed more difficult to find a major sociology or social anthropology conference that did *not* feature the word prominently in its programme, than to find one that did.

In spite of the flurry of interdisciplinary activity around the term ‘globalisation’, the need for new studies will not go away until the phenomena they describe disappear. Moreover, there still remains necessary work to be done on the conceptual and methodological basis of globalisation studies. As can only be expected of a research field that has grown too fast, globalisation studies have yet to be connected properly to the disciplines and intellectual traditions they have sprung from. In the case of social anthropology, there has been a tendency to emphasise the *newness* of globalisation studies. The obligatory contrast to Malinowski’s fieldwork is perhaps drawn, some remarks are made about the interconnectedness of everything, the hybridity of cultural identities and the irrelevance of what we may perhaps call the ‘quadruple S’ (synchronous single-society study) – but rarely do we see a sustained attempt to show the continuities between current research on globally embedded networks and mainstream twentieth-century anthropology.

Perhaps for the sake of argument, it can be tempting to highlight and pick on statements and positions that are as far removed from one’s own as possible. This approach, perhaps underpinned by selected quotations, may offer striking and convincing contrasts between contemporary work and functionalism or structural-functionalism in Britain, and some of the dominant post-Boas schools in the USA, such as culture-and-personality and Geertzian hermeneutics. However, closer examination more often than not reveals that many of the problems grappled with today (flows, ambiguities, relativity of boundaries, etc.) were by no means foreign to earlier

generations of anthropologists. The contrasts are not spurious, but they need not be exaggerated.

The approach of this book does not, in other words, consist in advertising the newness of globalisation research. Rather, I will devote most of this introduction to arguing that the new empirical domains belong, in important ways, to the mainstream of anthropological research. Of course, we do not wish to argue that nothing has changed. The contemporary world is one of global embeddedness, ubiquitous rights movements and reflexive identity politics, universal capitalism and globally integrated financial markets, transnational families, biotechnology and urbanisation; in a word, it is in substantial ways different from the world in which twentieth-century anthropology developed. It is a trivial fact that this must be reflected in research agendas. The question that we find it pertinent to raise concerns the implications of shifts in empirical concerns for theory and methodology. In order to begin to answer it, I now turn to an attempt to anchor studies of transnational processes to the mainstream in twentieth-century anthropology, showing eventually at which crucial junctions the present must depart from the past.

#### ANTHROPOLOGICAL LINEAGES

If the word is recent, the concerns that animate research on globalisation, or transnational flows, are not. The affinity between globalisation and early twentieth-century diffusionism is sometimes remarked upon (e.g. Barnard, 2000: 168), thus placing one of the latest fads in academia firmly in a lineage few are eager to see themselves as part of. The shortcomings of classic diffusionism – speculation about a patchily known past, poor contextualisation – can nevertheless easily be overcome in studies of contemporary transnational flows, provided the methodology is sound.

A less common, but hardly less relevant parallel can be drawn to evolutionism. Since studies of globalisation always engage with some notion of modernity and some notion of its spreading out from a centre to peripheries, they seem to share fundamental assumptions with the cultural evolutionists of Victorian anthropology. The appropriation of Western modes of production and consumption, Western rights concepts and notions of personhood, appears inevitable and irreversible, though with important local contextualisations and variations. Studies of transnational flows that move in the opposite direction, which lead to the 'orientalisation of the West', to use one of Marshall McLuhan's sphinx-like phrases, are few and far between, and it may be tempting to conclude, Fukuyama-like, that the reason is, simply, that non-Westerners cannot compete with the persuasive

power and institutional strengths of Western culture in its many guises. Whether Western or not, empirical work on globalisation does little to counter claims that this body of research largely deals with the dissemination and recontextualisation of, and resistance to, modernity. This is not tantamount to admitting that globalisation is Westernisation. Anthropology's strength lies, among other things, in making the world a more complex place and revealing the nooks and crannies of seemingly straightforward, linear historical change. The original critique of unilinear evolutionism thus still holds good, and is echoed in several of the chapters in this book. The assumption that globalisation has something to do with modernity or modernities, on the other hand, is not challenged.

The two most obvious lineages for globalisation studies, then – diffusionism and cultural evolutionism – were for most of the twentieth-century among the least fashionable theoretical frameworks in anthropology. To these sources of dubious merit we may add that globalisation studies have received important inspiration from general sociological theory (e.g. Castells, 1996; Giddens, 1990), macrohistory (e.g. Wallerstein, 1974) and media studies (e.g. McLuhan, 1964). A consideration of these historical contexts for the field makes it easy to understand why globalisation studies have been regarded as something of a stepchild in anthropology by some prominent practitioners of the discipline, not to say an embarrassment. The scope as well as the substance of globalisation seems to represent everything that a good social anthropologist should be wary of: grand comparisons often underpinned by flimsy evidence, whimsical and eclectic methodologies, a fondness for sweeping generalisations and, hovering in the background, the spectre of evolutionism. Admittedly, the most blatant generalisations usually come from non-anthropologists, but guilt by association is never far away in an era when Bauman, Beck, Castells and Giddens are second only to Bourdieu in the pantheon of social theory. Quite unlike what the advocates of globalisation research claim, the trend, viewed in this perspective, seems to be anything but avant-garde. Fundamental achievements of twentieth-century anthropology – the primacy of the local, the sophistication of field methods and the unanimous rejection of evolutionism – seem to have been momentarily forgotten by the many anthropologists keen to understand linkages and connections in the modern world.

With these sometimes fully justified objections or prejudices in mind, it is a task of paramount importance to show that globalisation studies not only matter empirically, but also that there is no necessary contradiction between twentieth-century anthropological methodology and studies of transnational flows. I shall now proceed to show that the continuity between classic anthropology and the

anthropology of globalisation is much more pronounced than commonly assumed, both by its defenders and by its detractors. First of all, however, we need to get rid of the word itself.

#### TRANSNATIONAL FLOWS

If the rapid ascent of the term 'globalisation' has been something of a *succès de scandale*, making it a password in some milieux and a four-letter word in others, the explanation is partly that it is a promiscuous and unfaithful word engaging in a bewildering variety of relationships, most of which would be better off using more accurate concepts. If economic globalisation refers to the increasing transnational character of production, marketing and transactions, and cultural globalisation refers to the increasing irrelevance of distance (see Giddens, 1990, on disembedding mechanisms), then the recent widespread and often uncritical use of the word has given it misleading connotations. First, although there are doubtless aspects of social organisation and symbolic universes in virtually every society that conform with these notions of globalisation – statehood and citizenship, monetary economies, modern mass media and so on – their actual realisation is always local and embedded in locally constituted life-worlds and power relations. Second, the term globalisation obfuscates the concrete and bounded nature of many of the flows of exchange and communication that turn the world simultaneously into a larger and a smaller place. *Commoditisation* is often seen as a typical aspect of globalisation – politics are commoditised in identity politics; social relations are commoditised through the (IMF and World Bank-aided) global spread of market logic, and globalisation is often seen as a function of neo-liberalism. Although it is true that the term rose to fame in the same period – the 1990s – as neo-liberalism became the hegemonic world ideology, globalisation is of course much older, more diverse and ideologically more ambiguous than this view would allow.

Partly because of its strong ideological connotations, most of the contributors to this book find it relevant to talk of their empirical material in terms of *transnational flows* rather than globalisation. Whether it is ideas or substances that flow, or both, they have origins and destinations, and the flows are instigated by people. The ideational and institutional framework of the flows may be 'placeless' or global in principle (the Internet is, and so are the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the dominance of Microsoft software and the global salmon market), but their instantiation necessarily involves situated agents and delineated social contexts. This way of re-focusing of the research object is typical of anthropological reconceptualisations of grand theories and, in this case, it

responds to the methodological problems associated with diffusionism and evolutionism. Instead of *assuming* the existence of global processes, the contributors to this book follow their informants and their cultural production wherever they go. When Marianne Lien writes about the connections between Finnmark fisheries and Japanese business, it is not because that relationship is of intrinsic interest, but because this entanglement has become an important part of the local. And when Karen Fog Olwig describes the creation of place among migrants from Nevis in far-flung places in the USA and Europe, it is not necessarily because she is interested in movement as such, but because she is committed to a long-term ethnographic project dealing with Nevisians, whose social worlds cannot be physically encircled by the shores of Nevis itself. Christian Krohn-Hansen, similarly, discovered that in order to complete his ethnographic endeavour in the Dominican Republic he would have to do fieldwork in New York City. Quite clearly, the 'non-places' famously described by Augé (1995), are saturated with symbolic meanings to the people who engage with them, although their 'objective' meaning may be opaque because they mean different things to different people (see Hannerz's chapter). If we consider Appadurai's (1996) proposed fields of significance – ethnoscapas, technoscapas, ideoscapas and so on – it is also clear that they are only brought into being in so far as people invest them with content, that they are only activated through social processes.

The point may seem trivial, yet it is easily overlooked if one sees 'the global' as a kind of Hegelian world spirit looming above and beyond human lives. The global only exists to the extent that it is being created through ongoing social life.

The fact that social worlds engage with wider systems is, of course, not new; it is not even a new concern for anthropology. Notwithstanding the orthodoxies of late Victorian anthropology, post-Malinowskian anthropology has also long engaged with the relationship between local communities and the outside world. The abhorrence of large-scale systems, change, mixing and modernity ascribed, in clichéd narratives of intellectual pasts, to mid-twentieth-century anthropology, is counteracted by a no less pronounced interest in the same phenomena. There has been a continuous, if sometimes unfashionable, interest in the articulation of the local with large-scale systems, including capitalism, individualism and the state. This is not to say that all criticism of earlier insularity is misplaced, only that it should not be exaggerated.

#### METHODOLOGICAL PEERS

To put it differently: quests for symbolic power and professional identity sometimes tempt academics to caricature the positions

taken by their predecessors, so that their own contribution may shine with an exceptionally brilliant glow of originality and sophistication. Let us resist that temptation here.

Malinowski himself, the founding father of the single-sited community fieldwork and the synchronic analysis, made his reputation on a study of mobility, translocal connections and what we might today call the identity politics of the *kula* (Malinowski, 1984/1922). Moreover, Mr Structural-Functionalism himself expressed a concern that the units studied in social anthropology were about to dissolve into larger and fuzzier systems, making them difficult to handle methodologically (Radcliffe-Brown, 1952: 193). The third 'founding father' of modern anthropology, Boas, remained sympathetic to diffusionism until his death, judging the study of diffusion as complementary to his historical particularist study of single cultures. However, of all the twentieth-century *Gründers*, it was especially Mauss who made historical change and cultural diffusion an integral part of his intellectual programme. In *The Gift* (Mauss, 1954/1924), Mauss explores both the historical origins of exchange regimes and their geographical distribution (especially in the Pacific region), and, in the final chapter, he laments the currently weak position of exchange as total social phenomenon in modern France.

This is not to deny that the single-society synchronic study was the standard form of anthropological inquiry for decades. Yet its shortcomings have been known, but were accepted as a trade-off for its advantages. Sometimes spoken of as the trade-off between depth and breadth, the contrast between time-intensive, slow and concentrated village fieldwork and the more breathless, fragmented and dispersed urban or translocal fieldwork should nevertheless not be overstated. One of the classic *Gemeinschaft* studies of American sociology was a study of a street-corner gang in a US city (Whyte, 1943) and, conversely, many of the classic studies of North American Indians were characterised by limited access to informants, resultant patchy knowledge of their culture and social organisation, and even the enforced dislocation of the informant group (onto reservations).

Amit (2000: 5) takes Hastrup to task for a seeming self-contradiction, namely that the latter had first emphasised the continued need for in-depth fieldwork (Hastrup and Hervik, 1994), and then, a few years later (Hastrup and Olwig, 1997), argued that there was no longer a one-to-one relationship between place and cultural production. However, in the context of the present book, we must stress the need to accept both views simultaneously. The fact that the field of inquiry is not a physical place can never be an excuse for not doing long-term fieldwork. Engagement with the field varies – in modern complex societies, it may be difficult to follow informants around in different contexts, and many simply do not have a lot of

spare time on their hands. Yet this problem can be partly compensated for through the improved availability of other sources in complex societies; and besides, limited access to informants is probably also more widespread in traditional locality-based fieldwork than is commonly assumed.

As noted above, problems concerning origins and the subsequent distribution and recontextualisation of phenomena were far from unfamiliar to early twentieth-century anthropologists, nor were issues relating to change and systemic interconnectedness. It can still be said that such issues tended not to be at the forefront in a discipline dominated by questions concerning cultural integration (in the USA) and social integration (in Britain). However, several of the mid-twentieth-century anthropological traditions following, or reacting against, earlier efforts took on methodological problems closely related to the ones that are raised in studies of transnational processes.

First, urban anthropology and network studies developed sophisticated understandings of social complexity and methods for studying weakly incorporated social systems. The Chicago School of the interwar years and the Manchester School of the early postwar years were particularly important in this regard (cf. Hannerz, 1980: chapters 2 and 4). A collective volume confidently entitled *The Craft of Social Anthropology* (Epstein, 1967), published in the twilight years of the Manchester School, describes a number of methodological strategies developed in the context of African urbanisation – socio-metrics, extended case method, systems analysis – which have attained extreme importance in studies of unbounded (but not unregulated) flows.

Second, systems theory proper, as witnessed in the work of Bateson (1972), Rappaport (1968), human ecologists and a wide range of non-anthropologists, has for decades offered robust methods for studying the parameters that regulate certain kinds of flows. While it would be unwise to use techniques devised for measuring energy exchange in studies of people and their cultural production, some of the general methodological guidelines of systems theory, such as the need to ‘follow the loops’ of repetitive interaction and redundancy in information, should be useful in studies of transnationalism as well.

Third, the strains of anthropological Marxism that were concerned with political economy placed the mutual interdependence of societies at the forefront of the agenda. Lacking the mainstream’s search for cultural authenticity and social cohesion, syncretic books by Wolf (1982), Worsley (1984) and others instead emphasised flows, connections and – more so than recent studies of transnationalism – power discrepancies that needed to be understood in a global framework. Emphasising the necessity to understand higher

systemic levels than those usually described by anthropologists, this line of neo-Marxism also added historical depth to the understanding of global interconnectedness. Sidney Mintz's (1985) study of sugar, to mention one outstanding example, splendidly weaves together present and past, global and local, insider's and outsider's perspectives in a coherent, powerful analysis of the role of one colonial commodity in recent world history.

#### SOME SUBSTANTIAL CONCERNS

There is a strained relationship between transnational studies or globalisation studies in anthropology and cultural anthropology proper, seen as the comparative study of symbolic universes and cultural specificity. Both Sahlins (1994) and Geertz (1994) have spoken ironically about the disenchanted world of global communication, intimating that, when all is said and done, the study object proper to anthropology is bounded and local. Many younger American anthropologists who have felt straitjacketed by their peers' demand for coherence and boundedness, have ended up writing *against* culture. All of the sources of inspiration for transnational studies mentioned so far in this introduction, moreover, seem to belong to the sociological camp in anthropology. All this apparently makes it possible to bracket or even obliterate culture or symbolic meaning as a primary research focus. Studies of identity politics or the politics of culture, for example, tend to concentrate on the externalising social manipulation and appropriation of cultural symbols and identity markers, rather than exploring the life-world meanings of them. Yet the importance of actual cultural differences is not necessarily neglected in such work; one need only think of Kapferer's (1988) comparative study of the Sri Lankan and Australian nationalisms, where cultural differences play a central part. Hannerz's seminal *Cultural Complexity* (1992), moreover, pays particular attention to the production of meaning in transnational contexts. Many other examples could have been mentioned, not least from recent American anthropology.

The contributions to this book do not bracket or leave culture out, but claim cultural process (culture as a verb rather than a noun, in the sense of Street, 1991) as one of their main subjects. Although some dimensions of culture tend to be taken for granted in all studies of modernity – think of the glib ways in which anthropologists used to talk about 'Western culture' as a single, taken-for-granted entity, while they were at pains to present all the differentiating nuances and details of their chosen non-Western culture – there is a deep concern with the interpretation of symbolic universes and

description of their substantial content throughout this book. Even if the very term *transnational* (rather than say, *translocal*) indicates that central dimensions of modernity are taken for granted, several of the chapters (most notably, perhaps, Nustad's and Abram's) offer fine-grained cultural accounts of some aspects of contemporary bureaucratic management. Others, such as Melhuus and Howell, take great pains to elaborate symbolic meanings embedded in a cultural universe that is just as much 'their own' as 'that of others', while Lund, herself an American anthropologist of Norwegian descent living for many years in Norway, tries to make sense of a transatlantic practice involving Norwegians and Norwegian-Americans, which initially caught her interest because it seemed so puzzling. Although none of this involves 'otherness' in the old sense, the ensuing analyses cannot be accused of not taking culture seriously, and in spite of dealing with the culture of 'home', they are in every way far removed from contrived depictions of, say, New York stockbrokers 'as if' they were a Papuan tribe.

Several of the classic staples of anthropological research are amply covered in the chapters that make up this book.

### *Identity and Community*

Four of the chapters shed new light on problems relating to these core concepts in social anthropology, indicating both continuity with past efforts and the need for new approaches in the fast-moving contemporary world.

Olwig, who has studied Caribbean diasporas for many years, discusses the concept 'global places' in the context of research in the Caribbean and on Caribbean migration. Her chapter shows the importance of discussing spatial identities in their actual concrete settings rather than in relation to the categories and concepts that have become dominant in the global discourse about place. Whether in the small island of Nevis or in a big Western city, her informants relate to places in ambiguous and complex ways, which cannot be subsumed under categories such as 'transnationalism' or 'diaspora'. Varying emphases on family networks, Caribbean places and the spatial significance of personal experiences among her informants are shown to transcend such general concepts, probably, she remarks, because her fieldwork has concentrated on intimate, 'primary' relationships.

Christian Krohn-Hansen, in a complex argument involving the fall of the Berlin Wall, the meanings of race and Caribbean history, argues that an improved anthropology of the present crucially depends on an improved anthropology of the past, making a strong

case for better historical research in the discipline. This is not least because, as he puts it, in our world of global flows, the human interest in genealogies (and blood, and place, and soil, and roots) remains tremendous.

Marianne Lien, whose research concerns food and transnationalism, discusses the significance of the term 'marginality' in her contribution. The fishing community of Båtsfjord, northern Norway, is commonly seen as a marginal place – small, isolated, remote from every centre – and yet its marginality is shown to be negotiable. Partly it is a notion constructed by the political centre and reproduced locally as a self-fulfilling prophecy denying Båtsfjord its place in global networks; partly it is contingent on Båtsfjord's alleged lack of inclusion in wider networks of communication and exchange. Through several concomitant processes (a new airport, revival of trade with Russians of the Kola peninsula, local attempts at re-fashioning local identity, globalisation of the fish market), the community's marginality is shown to be shifting and context-dependent. However, Lien also shows that the impact of various 'globalising' processes varies because of variations in local agency, thereby making a case for ethnography in globalisation studies.

Sarah Lund presents an intriguing ethnographic case in her chapter: the physical transfer of Norwegian-inspired buildings from the US Midwest to a site in western Norway, as a form of commemoration. Migration from Norway to the Midwest was massive in the nineteenth century, and these acts of reciprocity are interpreted as a way of creating a transatlantic locality. More pertinently, the chapter indicates the importance of historical depth in studies of transnational flows. Without an understanding of family ties, Scandinavian Lutheranism in the Midwest and the cultural importance of community, both in Norway and among Scandinavian-Americans, this expensive and labour-intensive movement would have been difficult to understand.

#### *Institutional and Informal Politics*

The subdiscipline of political anthropology used the relationship between the normative, institutional level and the level of strategic action virtually as its constitutive tension. In Abram's and Nustad's chapters, we see the tension being played out in the context of auditing, in western Europe and South Africa, respectively.

Knut Nustad begins his chapter by presenting a useful distinction between a global system and reflexive awareness of globality, detailing the latter as a tension between globalism (as a form of neoliberalism) and globality (the cultural notion that we now live in an

unbounded world). Attempting to 'cut globalisation down to size', he argues, using the IMF audit system as his main example, that although 'global actors' may exist, their reach through 'long networks' varies and is always confronted with resistance. There is no local/global duality – this would have presupposed an empirically incorrect dependence on the nation-state as the paradigm of social organisation – and what must be studied instead is how 'global actors' achieve their size through associating other actors and objects.

Abram's chapter discusses methodological issues associated with studying bureaucracies. She identifies two main approaches: one place-based and one policy-based; and two main kinds of data: informants' statements and actions, and documents. By presenting three very different examples from her own research on planning and bureaucracy (two from Buckinghamshire, England and one from the Oslo region, Norway), she reveals how methodological choices affect the production of knowledge. She also shows that, paradoxically, it is often necessary to set aside constructs such as local and global when one is studying these phenomena, since the appropriate methodological level – whether one studies interaction or documents – is that of the network.

### *Kinship and Gender*

Both Howell and Melhuus, who have formerly worked in South-East Asia and Latin America, respectively, engage with these classic issues in new empirical settings. Melhuus raises some important questions regarding the flow of fertilised human eggs and human sperm – an activity rarely included in globalisation studies, but clearly relevant for any discussion about boundaries, flows and transnationalism. Using Norwegian legislative practices as her main case, she shows ways in which the transnational circulation of bodily substances involves power discrepancies and tensions between boundedness and openness which closely resemble similar dimensions in economic, political and cultural flows. The obstacles confronted in attempts to redefine human substances as commodities moreover serve as a reminder that there are implicit rules regulating flows. Some things and ideas travel, while others don't.

Howell's chapter on the dissemination of children's rights is an original contribution to a classic issue in anthropology and global politics, namely the tension between universal pretensions and local realities. Exploring the history, development and attempted implementation of children's rights, she compares what can loosely be called 'new-style colonialism' (the more complex and less clear-cut globalising processes led by UN agencies and NGOs) with classic

colonialism, showing the former to be informed by many of the same basic value orientations as the latter. Like Krohn-Hansen, she shows the importance of historical depth in globalisation studies – in this case adoption practices, notions of childhood, rights discourses and other relevant, historically contingent aspects of children's rights, connecting this historical perspective to a reading of both documents and social practices (like Abram). When Howell and Melhuus write about international conventions and legislation, regulating the adoption of children and the flow of reproductive material respectively, their work stands in a long anthropological tradition of relating cultural norms to the ongoing negotiations and 'hybrid actions' of social life.

### Place

In his chapter, Hannerz turns the notion of multi-sited fieldwork inside out by showing that a single site in a complex society may be conceptualised as a multiple one. Since 'spaces' require agency and human interpretation in order to become 'places', it is clear that each 'space' may exist as various 'places' in so far as many agents invest it with different meanings. Hannerz presents a variety of research projects engaged in by his colleagues in Stockholm to indicate the generality of this 'multivocality of places', also pointing out that it requires great ethnographic sensitivity and thoroughness to comprehend the multidimensionality of an apparently single site. This point is also made forcefully in Lien's chapter.

Although Miller and Slater's chapter can be read largely as a strong defence of ethnographic work as an indispensable tool for understanding the contemporary world, it is also a valuable contribution to the understanding of place. The Internet is often seen as the virtual and global place *par excellence* but, as Miller and Slater show, it is both imbued with local meanings everywhere in the world and connected to pre-existing practices. Indeed, they argue, the 'dot com crisis' in 2000–01 was partly caused by a failure to understand the embeddedness of Internet use in everyday offline life.

In the Epilogue, Keith Hart moves in the opposite direction from usual with regard to scale and place, by asking what it would be like to study *world society* rather than transnational processes – in other words moving upwards rather than downwards in scale. Taking his cue from Immanuel Kant (among the classics) and Manuel Castells (among the contemporaries), Hart argues that global embeddedness is increasingly a fundamental characteristic of the sites we study, and that world history is therefore now a crucial discipline for anthropology. Hart also argues the case for a more relaxed attitude to

methodological issues: long-term single-sited fieldwork is in many cases, and for different reasons, no longer feasible. In its place, he offers existential engagement. Given the fact that anthropologists have always used themselves as their most important 'tools of measurement' in their research, this requirement makes immediate sense, and yet it feels as if Hart has violated a taboo.

An implicit theme in all the chapters is continuity and community. During the last decades, strongly marked by critiques of the allegedly static and conservative views of culture and society dominating early to mid-twentieth-century anthropology, the general ability to describe and analyse movement, mixing and discontinuity has increased considerably. Change is no longer seen as a theoretical and methodological problem, but as an inherent property of social life. Some social theorists (e.g. Urry, 2000) have even proposed a sociology based on movement rather than society as the fundamental concept; and, in anthropology, Strathern (e.g. 1991) has been foremost among those arguing that the search for wholeness and integration is ultimately fruitless (cf. also Ardener, 1985, on the end of modernism in social anthropology, and Amit and Rapport, 2002, for a recent discussion). In this situation, it is not facetious to argue that the conceptual and methodological apparatuses required to handle change and disruption are developing at a healthy pace, but that there is at the same time a risk that the classic skills of anthropologists in describing continuity and community are being weakened. In the context of transnational flows, it is true that continuity and community can no longer be taken for granted, as axiomatic points of departure. This does not mean that they cannot be identified, but that they need to be accounted for. If we are to believe the most sweeping statements about the disembedding and deterritorialising effects of globalisation, then it may seem nothing short of miraculous that long-term multiplex relationships continue to exist, that traditional social practices and cultural notions remain doxic, and that social communities based on generalised reciprocity and shared cultural values continue to be reproduced. Conversely, as Appadurai (1996: 179ff.) points out, localities were never *sui generis* and always required sustained hard work to continue to exist. While no serious student of the social should be surprised by this, it is true that social and cultural continuity now need to be described in more accurate terms than before, and also display greater variation than is often assumed. For example, communities need not be localised in order to offer comparable ontological security, normative control and social constraints on individuals, as the proverbial village did. Since the community is no longer necessarily a spatial entity, however, its

existence has to be demonstrated. Most of the chapters of this book indicate, sometimes in striking ways, the resilience and continued importance of *Gemeinschaft*-like social arrangements based on trust, social commitments and shared interpretations. The methodology required to produce knowledge about these communities, however, is more complex and in some ways more demanding (though, admittedly, in other ways less demanding) than the classic single-site synchronic fieldwork.

#### WHAT IS NEW?

In the foregoing I have relinquished intellectual one-upmanship and instead emphasised continuity between the methods and objectives of classic modernist anthropology and studies of transnational processes. Many of the same general problems are addressed, and the research methodology presented in this book does not represent a revolutionary departure from that of Malinowski or Evans-Pritchard. Yet it cannot, and should not, be denied that studies of transnational processes, be it Internet users in Trinidad, the sense of community among Caribbean migrants to North America or the flow of sperm and eggs across state borders, require a somewhat different conceptual apparatus and methodological toolbox than the kind of research typical of the discipline half a century ago. Some of this is well known. For example, much has been written about the need to see culture as a process rather than a thing, the inherent complexity and variation of cultural phenomena, and the problem of boundaries (for some of the most influential statements, see Clifford and Marcus, 1986; Hannerz, 1992; Strathern, 1991). The battle to escape the straitjacket of a reified concept of culture, along with its concomitant reified ideas of identity, seems to have been won for now, and besides, the processual notion of culture is not the exclusive property of globalisation studies (see e.g. Barth, 1989). The following points, elaborated at greater length in the chapters that follow, are neither more nor less than some regulative ideas that distinguish studies of transnational processes from – dare I use the term – traditional modernist anthropology.

First, studies of transnational processes rely on a greater diversity of materials than classic ethnography. Although participant observation is usually indispensable, written sources – often produced in the society in question (see Archetti, 1994) – can never be ignored. In a complex urban environment, questionnaire surveys and formal interviews also tend to be applied more frequently than in a local community. Studies of the Internet, to mention an example of growing interest, will always involve online research. The

importance of historical material in this kind of research, moreover, in fact makes it necessary for very many anthropologists to become better historians – if for other reasons than those imagined by Evans-Pritchard (1962).

A second and related point is the tendency, in transnational research, to develop a different, less multiplex kind of relationship to most informants than that which is feasible in a physical locality. Although this does not necessarily lead to a trade-off between 'depth' and 'breadth', it is clearly less easy to share the lives of informants for extended periods when the field is multilocal or even non-local, than when one 'pitches one's tent in a savage village'. Even when the number of informants is limited, as in Hannerz's recent research on foreign correspondents (Hannerz, 1996; forthcoming), this kind of informant is far less available than the villager of the classic monograph.

Third, research on transnational processes often involves multi-sited fieldwork but, as noted above, it may also involve multi-levelled single-site fieldwork, and this could moreover mean different things, such as studying the same setting from the perspective of different social groups participating in it, or studying a site at several levels of abstraction from ongoing social process.

Fourth and finally, in order for the transnational flows to be fully understood, they must not only be contextualised historically and systemically, but they must also be explicitly articulated with processes at the macro level. Thus the critique of the likes of Wolf and Worsley, that anthropology needed a better grasp of the large-scale processes in order to make sense of the small-scale ones, meets massive resonance among students of transnational processes, which is evident in all the chapters of this book.

Like the study of identity politics, the study of transnational processes or globalisation is interdisciplinary, engaging academics from sociology, human geography, political science, cultural studies and many other disciplines. What anthropology has to bring to globalisation studies is the recognition that social and cultural worlds, which are constituted from diverse materials of various origins, are always expressed through meaningful relationships. Through its ethnographic depth, anthropology also has the authority and the ability to collapse a number of counterproductive dichotomies: the local and the global, the virtual and the real, the place-bound and the 'non-place', the universal and the particular. In real-life settings, such contrasts evaporate.

What this book has to offer to the craft of social anthropology amounts to cutting globalisation research down to size by reintegrating it into the methodological mainstream of anthropology. If

the research presented in this book should prove uninteresting and bad, at least the verdict should not be made on the basis of weak methodology and poor empirical material. Seeing the anthropology of transnational processes as 'not really anthropology' should, hopefully, be a little bit more difficult after this book.

Thanks to Marianne Lien, Marit Melhuus and Knut Nustad for useful comments on the first draft.

## REFERENCES

- Amit, V. 2000. Introduction. In V. Amit (ed.) *Constructing the Field: Ethnographic Fieldwork in the Contemporary World*, pp. 1–18. London: Routledge.
- Amit, V. and N. Rapport. 2002. *The Trouble with Community: Anthropological Reflections on Movement, Identity and Collectivity*. London: Pluto Press.
- Appadurai, A. 1996. *Modernity at Large*. Minneapolis: Minnesota University Press.
- Archetti, E. (ed.) 1994. *Exploring the Written: Anthropology and the Multiplicity of Writing*. Oslo: Scandinavian University Press.
- Ardener, E. 1985. The end of modernism in social anthropology. In Joanna Overing (ed.) *Reason and Morality*, pp. 47–70. London: Tavistock.
- Augé, M. 1995. *Non-Places: An Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity*, trans. John Howe. London: Verso.
- Barnard, A. 2000. *History and Theory in Anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Barth, F. 1989. The analysis of culture in complex societies, *Ethnos* 54(3–4): 120–42.
- Bateson, G. 1972. *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*. New York: Bantam.
- Castells, M. 1996. *The Rise of the Network Society*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Clifford, J. and G. Marcus (eds) 1986. *Writing Culture: The Poetics and Politics of Ethnography*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Epstein, A.L. (ed.) 1967. *The Craft of Social Anthropology*. London: Tavistock.
- Evans-Pritchard, E.E. 1962. Anthropology and history. In E.E. Evans-Pritchard, *Social Anthropology and Other Essays*. New York: Free Press.
- Geertz, C. 1994. The uses of diversity. In R. Borofsky (ed.) *Assessing Cultural Anthropology*, pp. 454–65. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Giddens, A. 1990. *The Consequences of Modernity*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Hannerz, U. 1980. *Exploring the City: Inquiries toward an Urban Anthropology*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- 1992. *Cultural Complexity*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- 1996. *Transnational Connections*. London: Routledge
- Hannerz, U. forthcoming. *Foreign News*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Hastrup, K. and P. Hervik. 1994. Introduction. In K. Hastrup and P. Hervik (eds) *Social Experience and Anthropological Knowledge*, pp. 1–14. London: Routledge.
- Hastrup, K. and K.F. Olwig. 1997. Introduction. In K. Hastrup and K.F. Olwig (eds) *Siting Culture*, pp. 1–14. London: Routledge.
- Kapferer, B. 1988. *Legends of People, Myths of States: Violence, Intolerance and Political Culture in Sri Lanka and Australia*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution.

- McLuhan, M. 1964. *Understanding Media*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Malinowski, B. 1984/1922. *Argonauts of the Western Pacific*. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland.
- Mauss, M. 1954/1924. *The Gift*, trans. Ian Cunnison. London: Cohen & West.
- Mintz, S. 1985. *Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History*. New York: Viking.
- Radcliffe-Brown, A.R. 1952. On social structure. In A.R. Radcliffe-Brown, *Structure and Function in Primitive Society*, pp. 188–204. London: Cohen & West.
- Rappaport, R.A. 1968. *Pigs for the Ancestors: Ritual in the Ecology of a New Guinea People*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Sahlins, M. 1994. Goodbye to tristes tropes: ethnography in the context of modern world history. In R. Borofsky (ed.) *Assessing Cultural Anthropology*, pp. 377–94. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Strathern, M. 1991. *Partial Connections*. Savage, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Street, B. 1991. Culture as a verb: anthropological aspects of language and cultural process. In D. Graddol, L. Thompson and M. Byram (eds) *Language and Culture: Papers from the Annual Meeting of the British Association for Applied Linguistics, 1991*. Philadelphia: British Association for Applied Linguistics.
- Urry, J. 2000. *Sociology beyond Societies: Mobilities for the Twenty-first Century*. London: Routledge.
- Wallerstein, I. 1974. *The Modern World-System*, vol. 1. New York: Academic Press.
- Whyte, W.F. 1943. *Street-corner Society: The Social Structure of an Italian Slum*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wolf, E. 1982. *Europe and the People without History*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Worsley, P. 1984. *The Three Worlds: Culture and World Development*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

# INDEX

Compiled by Sue Carlton

- Abrams, P. 80  
adoption  
  and Code Napoléon 209–10  
  and financial gain 208, 211–13  
  and identity of biological parent 210–11  
  local practices 209–10  
  simple adoption 209–10  
  transnational 199, 202, 204, 207, 208–11  
  and Western values 209–10, 211  
  *see also* Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoption  
Africa 126  
African Americans 66–7  
Afro-Caribbean communities, and family land 60–2  
Albanian refugees 22–3, 24  
alienation 222  
Amit, V. 6  
ancestors 82–4, 85–6, 92  
Anderson, B. 63, 85  
Anglo-Americans 67  
anthropology  
  classification systems 86–90, 94  
  complex models 126–7  
  and construction of marginal localities 105  
  and globalisation 78–80, 84–5, 90–3, 99–100  
  and kinship 85  
  and Marxism 7–8  
  and nation-building 79–80  
  political 10–11  
  social 9–10, 15  
  and world society 223–5  
  *see also* fieldwork; globalisation studies; Internet studies  
Antilles 90, 91  
Appadurai, A. 5, 13, 28, 59–60, 131  
Apple computer company 22, 27, 29, 35  
architecture, and identity 111–13, 115, 116  
Ardener, E. 110–11  
Armenian diaspora 23, 24, 26, 30, 32, 34, 35  
artificial insemination by donor (AID) 174–5, 181–4  
  and Church 185–6  
  and exchange relations 179, 183, 184  
  *see also* sperm donation  
atomic weapon research 34  
audits 10–11, 130–4  
Augé, M. 139, 142  
ballet 23, 24, 26–7, 29, 33  
Barbados, and Internet 41  
Barents Region 104–5  
Basch, L. 68  
Bateson, G. 7  
Båtsfjord  
  airport 108–9, 110  
  architects open meeting 111–13, 116–17  
  *fiskebruk* 109–11, 113  
  and globalising processes 108–13  
  and identity 111–13, 115, 116–17  
  immigration 107  
  marginality 10, 103–6, 107  
  transnational connections 106–8  
Bauman, Z. 3, 81, 82, 114–15, 126, 202  
Beck, U. 3, 81–2, 100, 116, 124, 125, 126, 135  
Berlevåg 105–6  
Berlin Wall, fall of 9, 81–2  
bio-genetic substances  
  commodification 173, 178, 179  
  couples' rights 180–1  
  exchange relations 173, 177–9, 183, 184, 191  
  as gift 178–9, 184  
  transnational flow of 170, 172, 176–7, 191–2  
  and exchange theory 177–9  
  *see also* egg donation; sperm donation

- Björkland, U. 19–20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 30  
 and participant observation 34, 35
- Blixen, K. 105–6
- Bloch, M. 85, 212
- body, as locus of individual 172
- Bohlin, K. 186
- boundaries, and change 102–3, 108–11, 116–17
- Bourdieu, P. 3
- Brazil 222
- Britain  
 and children's rights 206  
 planning system 150, 154–5
- Buckinghamshire, planning for housing 140–2
- burial, and territory 82–4, 85–6, 92
- business, and Internet 40
- Callon, M. 125, 128, 129
- capitalism  
 and flexible specialisation 84  
 global 124
- Carey, J. 198
- Caribbean 99, 218–19  
 family land 60–2  
 St Kitts–Nevis union 63–5
- Caribbean diasporas  
 family networks 70–4, 75  
 identities 71–2, 73–4  
 incorporation into American society 67–8  
 and place 9, 60–2, 72–4
- Carrier, J. 113–14
- Cassidy, J. 41
- caste system 23
- Castells, M. 3, 12, 221
- change 13, 94, 100–3, 108, 116–17
- Chicago School 7
- childhood 199, 202, 206, 213  
 comparative studies 204, 207
- children  
 child labour 206  
 and family 203–4, 207  
 children's rights 11–12, 199, 201, 203–9  
 biological origins 182–3, 185  
 and cultural variation 207, 208  
 and universal values 205–6, 213–14  
 and Western values 205, 206, 213  
*see also* adoption; Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoption; United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child
- China 150, 200, 204, 222
- Christian missionaries 199–200, 213
- citizenship 86–7
- Code Napoléon 209–10
- collective remembrance 160–2
- Colombia 204
- colonialism, and globalisation 11–12, 199–200
- Comaroff, J. and J. 84
- commemoration 160–2, 164–8
- community 10, 147–8, 13–14
- continuity 13–14
- Coronil, F. 91
- Cuba 91
- cultural fundamentalism 87–9, 92, 214
- culture  
 and place 159  
 as process 8, 14  
 as self-description 130
- culture-and-personality school 1
- Cunningham, H. 204
- Dahlén, T. 23, 24, 27, 32, 33–4, 35
- Dalits 23, 33
- Daniels, K. 179
- Dāvøy, L. 183
- de Rivero, O. 124, 131
- Delaney, C. 85
- Denmark 150, 181–2
- diasporas 22–3, 69, 70, 159  
 ethnic 69–70  
 sustaining links 167
- diffusionism 2, 3, 5, 6
- discontinuity 13  
 spatial and temporal 114
- Dominica 70, 71, 72–3
- Dumont, L. 198
- Durkheim, E. 129, 217, 222, 224
- Eastern Europe  
 national identifications 83  
 reburial of ancestors 82–4
- egg donation 173, 174, 175, 180, 181, 187–90  
*see also* motherhood

- Egypt, and adoption 210  
 Electricity of France 129  
 embryo  
   research 176  
   status of 180–1  
 Engels, F. 224  
 Ennew, J. 206  
 Ethiopia 204  
 ethnography 10, 12, 14, 15  
   and Internet 40–57  
   multi-sited 50–1, 53, 56, 100  
   *see also* fieldwork, multi-local  
 Europe, hostility towards  
   immigrants 87, 88  
 European Union 222  
 Evans-Pritchard, E.E. 14, 15, 93  
 Evers Rosander, E. 23, 24, 32  
 evolutionism 2, 3, 5  
 exchange theory 177–9  
 exclusion 87, 92  
 exile nationalism 70  
 extended case method 7  
  
 fatherhood *see*  
   paternity/fatherhood  
 federations 222  
 Ferguson, J. 59, 78–9, 90, 92, 99  
 field  
   choice of 25–8  
   as network of localities 21–3, 25,  
   27–8  
   political movements 23–4  
 fieldwork 6–7, 18–35, 90, 217–18  
   and comparative studies 27  
   gatekeepers 32–3  
   interviews 34  
   and language 30  
   and locality 19–23  
   and material objects 139, 140  
   multi-local 21–35, 50–1, 53, 56,  
   100  
   multi-temporal approach 100,  
   103, 117  
   and networks 139, 140  
   and participant observation 33–5  
   policy-based 140–8  
   and relationships 31–3  
   single-sited 13, 15, 28–9  
   and time 13, 28–30  
   and travel 28, 30  
 Finnmark 103–4  
   alternative maps of 104–5  
  
 Finns, in Båtsfjord 107  
 Firth, R. 85  
 Flynbjerg, B. 149  
 foreign correspondants 18, 24, 26,  
   27, 32–3, 34  
 Fortes, M. 225  
 Foucault, M. 130, 134, 200–1  
 Franklin, B. 205, 206  
 Friedman, J. 122, 123, 135  
  
 Garden City Movement 150  
 Garsten, C.  
   multi-local approach 22, 24, 27,  
   28, 29, 31  
   and participant observation 34,  
   35  
 Gaston Family, Dominica 72–3  
 Geertz, C. 1, 8, 20  
 Gell, A. 140  
 Ghana 224  
 Giddens, A. 3, 20  
 Glick Schiller, N. 68–9  
 global actors 124–5, 128–30, 131,  
   135  
   and macro actors 125, 128–9  
   resistance to 11, 136  
   *see also* global institutions;  
   transnational corporations  
 global embeddedness 2, 12  
 global institutions 221–2  
 global/local relations 58–9, 122–36,  
   156  
   and anthropological models  
   125–8  
   dualism 123, 125–8, 135  
   global actors 124–5, 128–30, 131  
   global associations 130–1, 135  
   and Internet studies 54–6  
   and macro/micro distinction 125,  
   127  
 globalisation 24–5, 122–3, 138–9,  
   148, 199, 219–20  
   and alliances 200  
   and boundaries 116–17  
   and collapse of communism 81–4  
   and colonialism 11–12, 199–200  
   cultural 4  
   economic 4  
   global localities 158–9, 162  
   and historical continuities 82,  
   84–5  
   and identity discourse 114–16

- globalisation *continued*  
 and inequality 220, 221  
 and moral values 198–214  
 and nation-state 202–3  
 and place 58–75, 94  
 and political life 78–94  
 as process 101, 138–9  
 and spread of democracy 200, 203  
 and spread of social planning policy ideas 148–51, 156  
 Globalisation Research Programme 138  
 globalisation studies 8  
 and anthropology 2–4, 5–14, 15, 78–9, 99–100  
 and fieldwork 6–7, 13, 15, 100  
 and globalisation processes 138–40  
 historical approach 91–2, 202  
 interdisciplinarity 15  
 methodology 14–15, 99–100, 201–3  
 and participant observation 33–5, 202  
 globalising processes  
 Båtsfjord case studies 108–13  
 study of 138–40  
 transformative potential of 101–3, 108–13, 116–17  
 globalism 10, 124  
 globality 116, 124, 130  
 reflexive awareness of 10–11  
 Goody, J. 224  
 governmentality 130, 200–1, 214  
 Grimshaw, A. 126  
 Guatemala 204, 212  
 Gupta, A. 59, 78–9, 90, 92, 99  
 Gusterson, H. 34  
 Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoption (1993) 199, 203–4, 207, 208–9  
 and buying and selling of children 211–13  
 and cultural variation 208, 209–11  
 and Western values 205, 209–11  
 Hannerz, U. 8, 15  
 Hardt, M. 219  
 Hardtmann, E.M. 23–4, 30, 33  
 Harper, R. 131–3  
 Hart, K. 92, 126  
 Harvey, D. 114, 115  
 Hastrup, K. 6  
 Healey, P. 149  
 Henning, A. 23, 24, 26, 29, 30  
 Herskovits, M.J. 91  
 housing  
 local planning 140, 141–7  
 strategic planning 140–1  
 Howard, E. 150  
 human rights 200–1, 203, 205, 212–13, 217  
*see also* children's rights; moral values  
 Huss, H. 23, 24, 29, 32, 33  
 IBM, as macro-actor 128  
 ICSI (intracytoplasmic sperm injections) 176  
 identity 111–13, 114–17  
 and ancestors 82–3, 92  
 ethnic 72  
 and hybridity 1, 113  
 and place 9–10, 59, 60, 67, 69, 72, 74, 75  
 transnational 73–4, 75  
 identity politics 4, 6, 8  
 IMF (International Monetary Fund) 124  
 audit missions 11, 131–4, 135–6  
 immigrants  
 achieving rights 89  
 hostility towards 87, 88  
*see also* migrants; migration  
 immigration controls 65–6  
*in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) 171, 177, 187  
 India 200, 222  
 individualism 5  
 Indonesia, and adoption 210  
 infertility treatment  
 and Church 185–6  
 frozen embryos 180  
 and gender equality 189–91  
 legislation 170–1, 173, 174–7, 179–84, 187–92  
 payment for 191–2  
 travel abroad for 191–2  
*see also* artificial insemination by donor; egg donation; *in vitro* fertilisation; sperm donation  
 intellectual property rights 124

- interconnectedness 1, 7, 8, 78, 79  
interculturalists 23, 24, 27, 32, 33–4  
international conventions, study of 202  
internet  
  for anthropological research 225  
  cost of communication 43–4  
  dot.com crash 41–2, 43  
  as global phenomenon 39–44, 55  
  as local phenomenon 12, 44–50, 54–5  
  and national identities 47–8, 54–5  
  representing Trinidad 47–50  
  sex-pic exchange 53  
  and speculation 42  
  and Trininess 44–7, 48–9  
  velocity of change 41–2  
  and virtuality 52, 53–4  
internet studies 14, 39–57  
  and context 51–2, 55–6  
  and global/local relations 54–6  
  multi-sited/single-sited ethnography 50–1, 53, 56  
  online and offline experience 51–4, 56  
Islam, and adoption 210  
  
Jamaica 70, 73  
James, C.L.R. 218  
Japan 200  
  
Kant, I. 12, 217, 221  
Kapferer, B. 8, 85  
Kearney, M. 68  
kinship 11, 170, 171, 202  
  and territory 85–6  
  *see also* adoption; infertility treatment  
Knudsen, G. 189  
Kopytoff, I. 183  
KPMG 40  
Kristiansand council 149  
*kula* 6, 20  
  
Latin America, and adoption 210  
Latour, B. 126, 140, 142, 200  
  and ethnographical context 51, 52  
  and macro-actors 125, 128, 129  
  problematization 133, 135  
‘lime’/‘liming’ 45–6  
Lindquist, G. 23, 24, 27, 33  
Lutheranism 10  
  
McLuhan, M. 2  
macro actors 125, 128–9  
  *see also* global actors  
Mahler, S. 68–9  
Malaysia 150  
Malinowski, B. 1, 6, 14, 29, 90–1  
  and participant observation 19, 33, 35  
Malkki, L. 89  
Manchester School 7, 221  
Manitoba 162  
Marcus, G.E. 22, 50–1, 100  
marginality 10, 103–6, 107  
  and remoteness 110–11, 139  
Marxism 7–8  
Mauss, M. 6  
Melanesia 90, 126  
Meldingen, R. 164  
Merina 85, 86  
migrants  
  integration 66–8, 75  
  perceived as different 66, 68  
  and place of origin 60–2, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71–4, 75  
  *see also* diasporas; immigrants  
migration 65–6, 87, 159–60  
  and family ties 159, 163, 164  
  and history making 159–62  
  studies 21–3  
Miller, D. 50, 57  
Miller, P. 200  
Minnesota 164, 165  
Mintz, S. 8, 79, 224  
mobile phones 43  
modern state 80, 126  
  *see also* nation-states  
modernity 2–3, 20  
  and Westernisation 114  
money, cultural variations 212  
moral values 198–214  
  and cultural difference 198–9  
  and individual 207, 208  
  relativism 198–9  
  Western 205, 206, 213–14  
Morgan, L.H. 85, 224  
motherhood 171, 175, 189, 190  
Mourids 23, 24, 32  
Muir family, Jamaica 73

- Multi-User Dimensions (MUD and MOO) 52
- multiculturalism 88
- Muslim world  
and colonialism 200  
and transnational adoption 210
- Nadel-Klein, J. 105
- Nader, L. 138
- nation  
and kinship 85–6  
and religion 85  
and territory 86
- nation-states 63–5, 123, 202–3  
as bounded entities 126  
and commemorative rituals 160  
Eastern Europe 83  
and global actors 124, 125  
and identity 63, 64–5, 66, 73, 74  
and immigration controls 65–6  
resistance to 68, 70
- National Council on Biotechnology 180
- nationalism 79–80, 83
- Native Americans 66, 67
- Negri, A. 219
- neo-shamanism 23, 24, 27, 33
- network society 42, 116, 127, 128, 139, 220–2  
family networks 70–4, 75  
global actors 128, 130, 131, 135
- Nevis 70, 72  
*see also* St Kitts–Nevis
- New Managerialism 151
- Norman, K. 22–3, 24, 25, 30
- North Dakota 164, 165
- Norway  
adoption 177, 187, 192  
and children's rights 206  
and circulation of bodily substances 11, 170, 172–3, 176–7  
commemoration of emigration 161–2, 164–8  
emigration to Midwest 10, 162–3  
independence from Sweden 162, 167  
infertility treatment  
and Church 185–6  
legislation 170–1, 173, 174–7, 179–84, 187–92  
migration and family bonds 159, 163, 164  
reconstruction of pioneer buildings in 158–9, 162, 164–6, 168  
sperm donation 181–4  
and transnational flows 116  
*see also* Oslo
- Norwegian Americans  
and Norwegian heritage 158–9, 163, 167–8  
visits to Sletta 164–5, 166
- Norwegian Christian Doctors Association 186
- Norwegian Lutheran School of Theology 185
- Olsten employment agency 22, 28, 34
- organ transplants 172, 178–9
- Ortiz, F. 91
- Oslo district council  
local planning 140, 146–7, 148, 149, 150  
roles of administrators and politicians 152–5
- Parry, J. 212
- participant-observation 19, 31, 33–5, 202
- paternity/fatherhood 185, 186, 188, 190  
and DNA testing 182–3
- Philippines, and adoption 210
- pioneer buildings, relocated in Norway 158–9, 162, 164–6, 168
- place  
and community 139, 142  
as cultural construction 59  
and culture 159  
and globalisation 58–75, 94  
and identity 9–10, 59, 60, 67, 69, 72, 74, 75  
migrants and 60–2, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71–4, 75  
multivocality of places 12  
neighbourhood 59–60  
planning 140–56  
communicative/collaborative 149, 155  
comparative research 148–55  
fieldwork studies of 140–56  
participative 155
- policy-making  
comparative research 148–55

- and competing rationalities 145, 146
- fieldwork studies 140–56
- and imported ideas 150–2
- policy-transfer 151–2, 155
- roles of administrators and politicians 152–5
- transnational flow 156
- political life, in era of globalisation 78–94
- population statistics 220
- Porsgrunn model 151
- post-Boas schools 1
- Poster, M. 20
- Powdermaker, H. 31
- power
  - global actors and 134, 136
  - modern forms of 89, 90
  - and territory 92
- power differentials, naturalisation of 86, 87, 89
- problematization 133, 135
  
- race/racism 86, 87–9, 223
- Radcliffe Brown, A.R. 6
- Radøy 164
- Rappaport, R.A. 7
- reggae 23, 24, 29, 33
- remoteness 110–11, 139
- Renault 129
- Robertson, R. 123, 125, 128, 135
- Rose, N. 200
- Rousseau, J.-J. 222, 224
- Russia 222
- Russians, in Båtsfjord 107
  
- Saami 104
- Sahlins, M. 8
- Said, E. 105
- St Kitts 63–4
- St Kitts–Nevis 63–5
- Såpmi 104, 105
- Schneider, D. 85
- Scotland 150
- Sen, A. 201
- Sharp, L.A. 178–9
- Shields, R. 105
- single-society synchronic study (quadruple S) 1, 6, 14
- Slater, D. 50, 53, 57
- Sletta interpretative centre 164–8
- Smedjebacken 25
- Smith family, Nevis 72
- society 217–18, 222–3
  - bounded entities 126
  - construction of 129
- sociometrics 7
- Södertälje 22
- solar energy 23, 24, 27, 29
- Somalia, and children's rights conventions 204, 206
- South Korea 204
- sperm donation 173, 174–5, 180, 181–4, 188
  - and anonymity 175, 176, 181, 182–3, 184–7
  - and cryopreservation 181, 182
  - and cultural difference 182
  - imported from Denmark 181–2
  - screening procedures 181, 182
  - see also* paternity/fatherhood
- state 5
  - see also* modern state; nation-states
- Stockholm University 21–3
- Stolcke, V. 87–8, 89, 91, 92
- Stoller, P. 84
- Strathern, M. 13, 51, 52, 127, 130
- Street, B. 8
- street children 206
- structural-functionalism 1, 6
- surrogacy 180
- Sutton, C. 67–8
- Sweden 24, 150
- symbolic anthropology 87, 89, 94, 202
  - and history 90–3, 202
- Syria, and adoption 210
- Syrian Orthodox Christians in Sweden 22
- systems analysis 7
- Szanton-Blanc, C. 68–9
  
- Tamils, in Båtsfjord 107
- territory
  - and burial 82–4, 85–6, 92
  - and kinship 85–6
- Thatcher, M. 130
- Thomas, N. 90, 177–8
- Tikopia 85, 86
- Tölöyan, K. 70
- Tomlinson, J. 122
- translocal studies 21–35
  - see also* fieldwork, multi-local

- transnational corporations 124, 130, 145
  - see also* global actors
- transnational flow 2, 3, 4–5, 104, 106–8
  - of bodily substances 11, 170, 172, 176–9, 191–2
  - policy-transfer 156
  - transformative potential of 101–3, 108, 116–17
- transnational sociocultural systems 67–8
  - as ethnic diasporas 69–70
- transnationalism 7, 9, 10, 11, 67–70
  - as resistance to integration 68, 69, 70
  - and roots 86
  - see also* globalisation studies
- Trinidad, and Internet studies 40–1, 42, 44–50, 54
- Trinidadian, use of term 54, 55
- Trinidadians
  - Carnival websites 47
  - diaspora 44–7
  - Internet chatrooms 44–7
  - national homepage websites 49–50
  - patois 46
  - personal websites 48–9
    - and Trininess 44–7, 48–9
- Trobriand Islands 19–20, 29, 34, 35
- Trouillot, M.-R. 80, 93, 99
- Tunisia, and adoption 210
- Turkey, and adoption 210
- Turkle, S. 52
  
- United Nations Convention on Human Rights (1948) 205
- United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989) 185, 199, 203–4, 205–8, 211, 213
  - and cultural variation 207
  - and Western values 205, 206–7
- United States
  - and Caribbean migrants 67–8
  - and children's rights conventions 203–4, 205–6
  - federalism 222
  - and integration of migrants 66–8
- Van Loon, J.H.A. 210
- Varanger peninsula 103–6
- venture capitalism, and Internet 40, 42
- Verdery, K. 82–3, 84–5, 91, 92
- Vertovec, S. 69
- virtualism, international finance 42
- virtuality, Internet and 52, 53–4
  
- Wade, R. 220
- Wagner, R. 122, 123
- Wallerstein, I. 122
- Watson, J.L. 21–2
- Werbner, R. 224
- West Africa, adoption practices 209–10
- westernisation 2–3, 114
- Wilk, R. 62
- Williams, B. 85
- Wolf, E. 7, 15
- Woolgar, S. 42
- World Bank 124
- world economy 84, 132, 136, 221
- world society 12, 124, 217–25
  - and green issues 223
  - and networks 220–2
  - and population statistics 220
- World Trade Centre, destruction of 219
- Worsley, P. 7, 15
- Wulff, H. 23, 24, 26–7, 29, 33
  
- Year of the Child 206
- York, regeneration 149
- Ystebo, A. 164